IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA

VS.

CASE NO.: 2012-001083-CFA

SA NO: 1712F04573

GEORGE ZIMMERMAN

STATE'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER/MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING

**TOXICOLOGY** 

The State of Florida, by and through the undersigned Assistant State Attorney,

hereby moves this Honorable Court for an Order governing forthcoming trial

proceedings in the instant case. "Limitations on the examination of a particular witness

are controlled in the sound discretion of the trial court[.]" Kormondy v. State, 2003 WL

297027 (Fla. Feb. 13, 2003). In support of the instant Motion, the State submits the

following:

(1) The State has reason to believe that Defendant will attempt, since such was

done during deposition in the instant case, to introduce evidence, testimony,

questioning, or other reference to Victim's blood containing an indication of marijuana

use.

No witness has suggested that the level of marijuana reflected in the victim's

blood sample has any bearing whatsoever on the cause of his death. Nor is there any

evidence to suggest that Victim ingested the marijuana at or even near the time of

1

death; that he was under the influence; or that being under the influenced would in any way be relevant to the actions of either Victim or the Defendant.

We find that the view expressed by this Court in <u>Eldridge</u> and <u>Nelson</u> should continue to prevail. This view excludes the introduction of evidence of drug use for the purpose of impeachment unless: (a) it can be shown that the witness had been using drugs at or about the time of the incident which is the subject of the witness's testimony; (b) it can be shown that the witness is using drugs at or about the time of the testimony itself; or (c) it is expressly shown by other relevant evidence that the prior drug use affects the witness's ability to observe, remember, and recount.

## Edwards v. State, 548 So. 2d 656, 658 (Fla. 1989)

Such evidence is inadmissible as cross-examination. <u>Diaz v. State</u>, 747 So.2d 1021, 1023-1024 (Fla. 3d DCA 1999) ("The victim's blood alcohol level was absolutely irrelevant to the medical examiner's testimony"). Accordingly, the items listed above are irrelevant to any charge or defense and clearly designed only to prejudice one or more jurors, and the State seeks an Order from this court restricting examination regarding the matters set forth above.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HERBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by email to Mark O'Mara, Esq., Don West, Esq., this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2013.

ANGELA B. COREY STATE ATTORNEY

ву. <u>Геоги</u> о

Bernardo de la Rionda Bar Number: 365841 Assistant State Attorney